

Appendix F: Assessment of Monitoring Indicators

Objective	Monitoring Indicator (s)	Trigger	Actions	SA Objective (s)	Assessment of robustness and potential significant effect following Main Modifications to Local Plan
Policy 1: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development					
Ensure the timely approval of applications within statutory timescales, or extended timescales where agreed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applications determined within defined timescales • % appeals dismissed 	Monitor performance via returns to CLG and appeals reporting to committees	Consider changes in procedures where corrective action is identified	All	Policy is proposed to be removed; removal of indicator would not therefore have significant affects.
Policy 2 1: Spatial Strategy					
<p>Delivery of development according to the settlement hierarchy</p> <p>Links to Policies 8 7 and 11</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The amount of services lost and/or gained within each settlement boundary • No. of planning permissions approved for non-countryside uses outside settlement boundaries <p>Monitoring will consist of an assessment of the development delivered (net employment land & net dwellings completions) & the relationship to settlement boundaries/ hierarchy of settlements.</p>	Deviation from expected delivery of development according to the settlement hierarchy	<p>Depending on the scale and nature of the potential under-delivery/ deviation, actions may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • engaging with stakeholders; • preparation of an interim position statement; • bringing forward additional allocations; and/or • a partial review of the Local Plan 	1: Housing, 2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 5: Education, 8: Landscape, 9: Soil, air and water quality, 10: Land and waste, 14: Economy	<p>The proposed amendment to the indicator now takes account that a positive effect could be monitored as well as negative. The amendment would allow for improved monitoring.</p> <p>The indicator is considered to remain robust taking into account the proposed modification to the associated policy.</p>

Policy 3 2: Development Management					
<p>Seeking to deliver proposals that accord with sustainable development principles</p> <p>Links to Policies 4 3, 5 4, 6 5, 24 28, 25 29, 26 30, 27 31, 28 32, 29 33 and 31 36</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No. of planning applications refused on flood risk grounds No. of planning applications refused due to inappropriate design <p>Monitoring will consist of an assessment of indicators utilised for related detailed policies identified.</p>	Decision monitoring	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	All	The indicator is considered to remain robust taking into account the proposed amendments to the associated policy. The monitoring of this policy is linked to the monitoring of several others in the Plan.
Policy 4 3: Design of New Development					
<p>Promotion of high quality and inclusive design and layout in development proposals</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No. of planning applications refused due owing to inappropriate design 	Decision monitoring	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	All	The proposed amendment to the indicator is based on clearer language and would ensure improved monitoring. The indicator is considered to remain a robust approach to monitoring in light of the proposed modification to the policy. Part of the proposed modification relates to biodiversity and green infrastructure; these will be monitored via other indicators within this schedule.
Policy 5 4: Strategic Approach to Flood Risk					

CAPITA

<p>Locating major development in areas at the lowest hazard or probability of flooding whilst ensuring no increase in flood risk as a result of the development.</p> <p>Ensuring that development proposals take proper account of flood risk issues, and that new development will be resilient to the potential consequences of flooding.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provision of new strategic flood mitigation infrastructure No. of planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency advice on the grounds of flooding/ or water quality Housing No. of residential planning permissions and completions granted in ROY 'danger for some', 'danger for most' and 'danger for all' hazard zones 	<p>Decision monitoring and annual analysis of housing completions/permissions to assess development in ROY</p> <p>'danger for some', 'danger for most' and 'danger for all' hazard zones.</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>6: Green infrastructure, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood risk, 12: Climate change</p>	<p>The proposed amendment to the indicator takes into account the proposed modifications to the policy, namely the additional consideration that would be provided to residential proposals.</p> <p>The proposed indicators are considered generally robust but consideration could be given to the addition of an indicator that would monitor development proposals within 50m buffer from the toe of the raised Witham Haven Banks given the proposed addition of this to the associated policy.</p>
<p>Policy 6 5: Meeting Physical Infrastructure and Service Needs</p>					
<p>Ensuring the delivery of necessary infrastructure requirements related to development proposals.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No of infrastructure-related planning conditions discharged No of infrastructure related obligations within a Section s106 agreement delivered 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual review of the IDP and open space standards via the AMR to consider if delivery of infrastructure is consistent with objectives of the Local Plan Decision monitoring with respect to s106 and delivery of infrastructure 	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 5: Education, 6: Green infrastructure, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 11: Flood risk</p>	<p>The proposed amendment to the indicator is for clarity of language.</p> <p>In light of the proposed modification to the policy that would add specific school delivery, consideration could be given to the addition of an indicator that would monitor this delivery.</p>
<p>Policy 7 6: Developer Contributions</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Application of developer contributions to developments above national prescribed thresholds</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No of s106 agreements signed annually per annum • Level of developer contributions funding secured annually per annum • No of schemes where site-specific viability assessment leads to developer contributions not being sought 	<p>Annual review of approach to developer contributions</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 5: Education, 6: Green infrastructure, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 11: Flood risk</p>	<p>The proposed amendment to the indicators is for clarity of language. The proposed modification to the policy provides clarity and extra detail on the developer contributions process and so these indicators remain robust.</p>
<p>Policy 8 7: Improving South East Lincolnshire’s Employment Land Portfolio</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Delivery of a portfolio of employment land supply across a range of sites</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enterprises by industry • Land currently in B1, B2 and B8 use per annum • Total amount of additional (net & gross) employment floor space by type • Available allocated employment land with & without planning permission • Loss of employment land by type 	<p>Annual monitoring of take-up of B Class development with additional intelligence on general economic trends</p>	<p>Depending on the scale and nature of the potential under-delivery/deviation, actions may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • engaging with stakeholders; • preparation of an interim position statement; • bringing forward additional allocations; and/or • partial review of Local Plan 	<p>3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 5: Education, 8: Landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste. 13: Economy</p>	<p>The proposed amendment to the indicator is for clarity of language. The proposed modification to the policy clarifies how ancillary non-B Class uses would be considered in the planning process; the indicators would effectively monitor the loss of employment land to other uses.</p>
<p>Policy 8: Prestige Employment Sites</p>					

<p>Delivery of six Prestige Employment Sites including attendant infrastructure</p>	<p>For each site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completion of masterplan • Total amount of additional (net and gross) employment floor space by type • Available employment land with and without planning permission 	<p>Annual updates via the AMR on completions and permissions</p>	<p>Depending on the scale and nature of the potential under-delivery/deviation, actions may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • engaging with stakeholders; • preparation of an interim position statement; and/or • partial review of Local Plan 	<p>3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 5: Education, 6: Green Infrastructure, 7: Heritage, 8: Landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood Risk, 13: Economy</p>	<p>The proposed indicators are considered to provide a robust approach to monitoring the delivery of the prestige employment sites and associated masterplans.</p>
<p>Policy 9: Promoting a Stronger Visitor Economy</p>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delivery of tourism and visitor economy facilities; • Specific policy approach to Springfields Shopping and Festival Gardens 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expenditure in the visitor economy per annum 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual monitoring of tourism/ visitor economy developments • Specific consideration of any proposals at Springfields Shopping and the Festival Gardens to determine policy success 	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 5: Education, 8: Landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 13: Economy</p>	<p>The proposed amendment to the indicator is for clarity of language. There are no proposed modifications to the policy so no requirement for further amendments to the monitoring indicators.</p>
<p>Policy 10: Meeting Objectively Assessed Housing Need Requirements</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Provision of 18,300 19,425 dwellings (7,550 7,744 in Boston BC and 10,750 11,681 in South Holland DC) over the plan period.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No of housing completions per annum for the Plan area & by LPA Assessment of Five Year Housing Land Supply 	<p>Annual updates via the AMR on completions and permissions</p>	<p>Depending on the scale and nature of the potential under-delivery/deviation, actions may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> engaging with stakeholders; preparation of an interim position statement; bringing forward additional allocations; and/or partial review of Local Plan 	<p>1: Housing, 4: Social inclusivity</p>	<p>The monitoring indicator has been updated to reflect the proposed modifications to housing requirements. The approach to monitoring is not proposed to be amended and is considered robust.</p>
<p>Policy 11: Distribution of New Housing</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Delivery of housing targets set out by settlement</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No of housing completions per annum for the Plan area & by settlement Housing commitments derived from extant & submitted planning applications, by settlement per annum 	<p>Annual updates via the AMR on completions and permissions</p>	<p>Depending on the scale and nature of the potential under-delivery/deviation, actions may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> engaging with stakeholders; preparation of an interim position statement; bringing forward additional allocations; and/or partial review of Local Plan 	<p>1: Housing, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood risk</p>	<p>The proposed modification to the associated policy sees an increase of housing requirements across the development hierarchy. However, the general distribution would remain broadly the same and in line with the spatial strategy for distribution of housing across settlements. On this basis, the indicators remain robust.</p>
<p>Policy 12: Release of Reserve Housing Sites</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Delivery of Reserve Housing Sites if completions on allocated sites and other housing commitments fall below 85% of the number of homes required.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. of housing completions per annum by LPA • No. of housing completions on released Reserve Sites 	<p>Annual updates via the AMR on housing completions</p>	<p>Depending on the scale and nature of the potential under-delivery/deviation, actions may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • engaging with stakeholders; • preparation of an interim position statement; and/or • partial review of Local Plan 	<p>1: Housing, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood risk</p>	<p>The proposed new policy allows for the development of a suite of reserve sites in the case that allocated sites were not being delivered. The proposed indicators would effectively monitor the delivery of allocated sites to understand if reserve sites should be needed, and the effectiveness of the delivery of those reserve sites.</p>
<p>Policy 13: South West Quadrant Sustainable Urban Extension (Sou006)</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Delivery of the specific development as an urban extension to Boston, including its attendant infrastructure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of housing completions within the sustainable urban extension per annum • Area of land in B1, B2 and B8 use within the sustainable urban extension per annum • Area of land in open space use (by type) within the sustainable urban extension per annum • Length (kilometres) of the Boston Distributor Road delivered within each five year period (by phase) 	<p>Annual updates via the AMR on completions and permissions</p>	<p>Depending on the scale and nature of the potential under-delivery/deviation, actions may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • engaging with stakeholders; • preparation of an interim position statement; and/or • partial review of Local Plan 	<p>1: Housing, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 7: Green infrastructure, 8: landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood risk, 13: Employment</p>	<p>The proposed indicators are considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.</p>
<p>Policy 14: South of the North Forty Foot Sustainable Urban Extension (Wes002)</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Delivery of the specific development as an urban extension to Boston, including its attendant infrastructure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of housing completions within the sustainable urban extension per annum • Area of land in open space use (by type) within the sustainable urban extension per annum • Length (kilometres) of the Boston Distributor Road delivered within each five year period (by phase) 	<p>Annual updates via the AMR on completions and permissions</p>	<p>Depending on the scale and nature of the potential under-delivery/deviation, actions may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • engaging with stakeholders; • preparation of an interim position statement; and/or • partial review of Local Plan 	<p>1: Housing, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 7: Green infrastructure, 8: landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood risk</p>	<p>The proposed indicators are considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.</p>
<p>Policy 12 15: Vernatts Sustainable Urban Extension</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Delivery of the specific development as an urban extension to Spalding including its attendant infrastructure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. of housing completions within the sustainable urban extension per annum • Amount Length (kilometres) of the northern phase of the SWRR delivered within each five year period 	<p>Annual updates via the AMR on completions and permissions</p>	<p>Depending on the scale and nature of the potential under-delivery/deviation, actions may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • engaging with stakeholders; • preparation of an interim position statement; • bringing forward additional allocations; and/or • partial review of Local Plan 	<p>1: Housing, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 7: Green infrastructure, 8: landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood risk</p>	<p>The proposed modification to the indicator is only a minor clarification of the text and would not alter the monitoring of the policy. The proposed indicators are considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.</p>
<p>Policy 16: Holbeach West Sustainable Urban Extension</p>					

Delivery of the specific development as an urban extension to Holbeach including its attendant infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No. of housing completions per annum Delivery of Peppermint Junction highways improvements 	Annual updates via the AMR on completions and permissions	<p>Depending on the scale and nature of the potential under-delivery/deviation, actions may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> engaging with stakeholders; preparation of an interim position statement; bringing forward additional allocations; and/or partial review of Local Plan 	1: Housing, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 7: Green infrastructure, 8: landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood risk	The proposed indicators are considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.
Policy 14 17: Providing a Mix of Housing					
Delivery of a mix of housing as defined by the policy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No. of homes completed by size to meet market and affordable housing needs per annum 	Annual review of the mix of housing delivered	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	1: Housing, 4: Social inclusivity	The proposed indicator is considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.
Policy 15 18: Affordable Housing					
Delivery of affordable housing as defined by the policy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No. of affordable homes completed per annum 	Annual review of the affordable housing delivery	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	1: Housing, 4: Social inclusivity	The proposed indicator is considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.
Policy 16 19: Rural Exception Sites					

Delivery of specific rural exceptions sites.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No. of affordable and market homes committed on Rural Homes Exception Sites 	Annual review of rural exceptions housing delivery	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	1: Housing, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: Landscape	The proposed indicator is considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.
Policy 17 20: Accommodation for Gypsy, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople					
Delivery of the identified requirement for Gypsy and Traveller pitches, and Travelling Showpeople plots	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Net additional permanent residential pitches for gypsies and travellers Net additional transit or stopping place pitches for gypsies and travellers Net additional permanent residential & seasonal plots for travelling showpeople 	Annual review of pitches and plots delivered	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	1: Housing, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: Landscape	The proposed modification to the indicator is a clarification of the text and would not alter the monitoring of the policy, but appears to distinguish between residential pitches for gypsies and travellers and residential pitches for travelling show people. The indicators are considered robust but an additional trigger relating to significant increase in unauthorised encampments would further strengthen the monitoring of this policy.
Policy 18 21: Houses in Multiple Occupation and the Sub-Division of Dwellings					
Ensuring a suitable mix of housing is available within the Local Plan area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No. of HMOs and flat conversions refused The mix of sizes of housing completed compared with the Strategic Housing Market Assessment 	Annual review of the mix of housing delivered	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	1: Housing, 4: Social inclusivity	The proposed indicators are considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.
Policy 19 22: Replacement Dwellings in the Countryside					

Delivering replacement dwellings in the countryside	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No. of replacement dwellings completed in the countryside 	Annual review of the delivery of new replacement dwellings	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	1: Housing, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: Landscape	The proposed indicator is considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.
Policy 23: The Re-Use of Buildings in the Countryside to Residential Use					
Making provision for the conversion and reuse of rural buildings to dwellings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No. of new dwellings completed by converting redundant rural buildings to residential use 	Annual review of the delivery of dwellings converted from redundant rural buildings	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	1: Housing, 4: Social inclusivity	The proposed indicator is considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.
Policy 24: The Retail Hierarchy					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establishing a retail hierarchy for the Local Plan area. Setting a thresholds for Retail Impact Assessments New allocations for Local Centres at urban extensions in Spalding and Boston 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total amount of floor space for town centre uses within the town centre boundaries Vacancy rates for retail use in the town centres boundaries Amount of floor space completed for town centre uses by type, by centre and for the Local Plan area 	<p>Decision monitoring</p> <p>Periodical surveys of relevant centres to determine vitality and viability</p>	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 5: Education, 13: Economy	The proposed modifications to the indicators are only a minor clarification of the text and would further strengthen the monitoring of the policy, which is considered robust.
Policy 25: Supporting the Vitality and Viability of Boston and Spalding Town Centres					

<p>Ensuring that Boston and Spalding town centres remain the focus for retail, entertainment, and commercial activity</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amount of floor space for town centre uses within the town centre boundaries • Vacancy rates for retail uses in the town centre boundaries • Amount of floor space completed for town centre uses by type, and by centre 	<p>Decision monitoring. Periodical</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 5: Education, 13: Economy</p>	<p>The proposed addition of this policy has a series of robust indicators, triggers and actions for future monitoring. However, it appears that some text is missing from the final sentence of the monitoring indicator and the final bullet point should read 'Amount of floor space completed for town centre uses by type, by centre and for the Local Plan area'. The Trigger also appears to have some text missing and would be more robust if it included the wording 'Periodical surveys of relevant centres to determine vitality and viability'.</p>
<p>Policy 22 26: Primary Shopping Frontages</p>					
<p>Establishing primary shopping frontages for Spalding and Boston</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amount of floor space for retail use within the primary shopping frontages • Vacancy rates by unit in the primary shopping frontages 	<p>Decision monitoring Periodical surveys of relevant centres to determine vitality and viability</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 13: Economy</p>	<p>The proposed indicator is considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.</p>
<p>Policy 23 27: Additional Retail Provision</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Setting out the expected additional retail floorspace (comparison and convenience) required over the Local Plan period.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total amount of floor space completed for town centre uses by type, by centre and for the Local Plan area • Amount of comparison goods floorspace completed at Springfields Shopping and Festival Gardens 	<p>Decision monitoring</p> <p>Periodical surveys of relevant centres to determine vitality and viability</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: Landscape, 9: Soil, air and water quality, 10: Land and waste, 13: Economy</p>	<p>The proposed indicators are considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.</p>
<p>Policy 24 28: The Natural Environment</p>					

CAPITA

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application of HRA requirements with respect to major development proposals in the Local Plan area. • General application of protection to national and locally-designated habitats and species • Addressing gaps in the ecological network in the Local Plan area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. of planning applications refused due owing to their impact on the natural environment • No. of hectares of mitigation where planning permission granted on protected sites • No. of hectares of restoration, enhancement or connection of habitats and ecological networks • No. of hectares of Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace • No. and type of conservation features incorporated into buildings 	<p>Decision monitoring</p> <p>Periodical surveys of state and quality of natural environment features (working with relevant nature conservation bodies)</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>2: Health and well-being, 4: Social inclusivity, 6: Green infrastructure, 8: Landscape, 9: Soil, air and water quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood risk, 12: Climate change</p>	<p>The proposed modification to the indicator is only a minor clarification of the text and would not alter the monitoring of the policy, which is considered robust.</p>
<p>Policy 25 29: The Historic Environment</p>					

CAPITA

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy approach with respect to Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas within the Local Plan area • The role of enabling development in securing improvements/ enhancements to heritage assets 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. of planning applications refused for not conserving or enhancing designated or undesignated assets • No. of planning applications refused for having an adverse impact on listed buildings or sites of special historic or archaeological interest • No. of planning permissions granted for the demolition of listed buildings/buildings in conservation areas • No. of planning applications refused for having an adverse impact upon the dominance of church towers, spires and traditional windmills 	<p>Decision monitoring</p> <p>Periodical surveys of state and quality of historic environment features (working with relevant heritage bodies)</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>7: Heritage, 8: Landscape, 9: Soil, water and air quality, 10: Land and waste</p>	<p>The proposed indicators are considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.</p>
<p>Policy 26 30: Pollution</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Criteria based policy setting out approach to pollution impacts of development proposals</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. of planning applications refused owing to environmental impact • No. of AQMAs in South East Lincolnshire • Number of contaminated sites developed 	<p>Decision monitoring</p> <p>Periodical surveys of state & quality of AQMA & contaminated land sites (working with environmental services teams in Boston and South Holland)</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 8: Landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood risk, 12: Climate change</p>	<p>The indicators are considered to remain robust taking into account the proposed amendments to the associated policy.</p>
<p>Policy 27 31: Climate Change and Renewable and Low Carbon Energy</p>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting out the approach to evaluating proposals with respect to their potential impacts on climate change • Providing criteria to consider proposals for renewable energy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. of planning permissions approved for renewable & low carbon energy • No. of developments that are designed to minimise & mitigate the impacts of climate change 	<p>Decision monitoring</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: Landscape, 9: Air, water and soil quality, 10: Land and waste, 11: Flood risk, 12: Climate change</p>	<p>A new indicator is suggested that would take account of the proposed modifications to the policy and would allow for improved monitoring, as follows: "No of residential schemes that are designed to meet Building Regulation water efficiency standard of 110 litres per person per day."</p> <p>Other proposed modifications to the policy are not considered to affect the existing indicators which remain robust.</p>
<p>Policy 28 32: Community, Health and Well-being</p>					

CAPITA

<p>Broad ranging policy covering various factors that require consideration when determining planning proposals including rights of way; encouraging healthy lifestyles; and provision of new or enhancement to existing community facilities</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. of planning applications refused because they have an unacceptable impact on the criteria • No. of planning permissions granted for the provision of new community facilities and/or the enhancement of existing community facilities • No., area, and area/1,000 people by open space type 	<p>Decision monitoring</p> <p>Periodical surveys of open spaces to determine level of access (likely to be an external consultancy commission)</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>2: Health and well-being, 4: Social inclusivity, 5: Education, 6: Green infrastructure, 8: Landscape, 9: Land and waste</p>	<p>A new indicator is suggested that would take account of the proposed modifications to the policy and would allow for improved monitoring, as follows: "Planning permission granted for the extension of cemetery and open space at Gosberton"</p> <p>Other proposed modifications to the policy are considered not to affect the existing indicators which remain robust.</p>
<p>Policy 29 33: Delivering a More Sustainable Transport Network</p>					

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of specific new road infrastructure and road improvements (Spalding Western Relief Road; Boston Distributor Road; and Peppermint Junction (Holbeach)) • Seeking general improvements to the rail network. • Setting out the general approach to protecting and improving pedestrian and cycle networks • Requiring Transport Assessments and Travel Plans where appropriate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CO2 emissions per head • Number of AQMAs in South-East Lincolnshire • No. of planning permissions granted with approved Travel Plan • No. of electric vehicle charging points provided in association with new development • No. of planning permissions granted with new or improved access facilities for the disabled 	Decision monitoring	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: Landscape, 9: Air, soil and water quality, 12: Climate change	The indicators are considered to remain robust taking into account the proposed amendments to the associated policy.
Policy 34: Delivering the Boston Distributor Road					
Identification of specific new road infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Length (kilometres) of the Boston Distributor Road delivered within each five year period (by phase) • Progress with funding applications for the delivery of the Boston Distributor Road 	Updates via the AMR on completions and permissions	Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives	2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: Landscape, 9: Air, soil and water quality, 12: Climate change	The indicators for this new policy are considered to be sufficient and robust.
Policy 35: Delivering the Spalding Transport Strategy					

<p>Identifies the mechanism for securing the delivery of transport initiatives and the SWRR to mitigate the adverse impacts of new housing in Spalding</p>	<p>No. of Spalding Transport Strategy projects completed</p>	<p>Annual review of developer contributions secured</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>2: Health and well-being, 3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: Landscape, 9: Air, soil and water quality, 12: Climate change</p>	<p>The indicator is considered to remain robust taking into account the proposed amendments to the associated policy.</p>
<p>Policy 31 36: Vehicle and Cycle Parking</p>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting out standards for vehicle and cycle parking • Criteria for evaluating planning proposals with respect to parking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. of Council car parking bays in the Town Centres, by short stay (time limited), short stay unlimited and long stay (annual frequency) • No. of electric vehicle charging points provided in association with new development • No. of planning permissions granted with new or improved parking facilities for the disabled 	<p>Decision monitoring</p>	<p>Review circumstances and if appropriate review policy and alternatives</p>	<p>3: Transport, 4: Social inclusivity, 8: Landscape, 9: Air, soil and water quality, 12: Climate change</p>	<p>The proposed indicators are considered a robust approach to monitoring the policy.</p>

CAPITA

We | Listen Create Deliver