

Address				
	Land to the south of Gr	een Lane, Leake Commonsio	de	
Site area (Hectares)	1.82 Site capacity at 30 dph:	55 Site capacity at 25 dph:	46 Site capacity at 20 dph:	Site capacity from planning permissions:
Availability	ls the site available	Yes Yes		permissions.
Explanation	Although there is no pla	nning permission or allocat	ion, there are no known le	gal or ownership problems.
Achievability	ls the site achievab	Yes		
[6	although flood mitigation	Values are moderate, but on costs are likely. If it is allo begin in year 8, and be com	cated, there is a reasonabl	osts are likely to be low, le prospect that it would be
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Delivered in yrs 1-5: Delivered in yrs 6-10: Delivered in yrs 11-15: Delivered in yrs 16-20 Delivered in yrs 21-25:		24 12	
Suitability	ls the site suitable?	No		
E C C C C C C C C C	dentification of no new ENVIRONMENTAL IMPAdevelopment would not argely contained by the modest. INFRASTRUCTURE - it w LOCATION - it is accessibleake Commonside's exported to the common of the composition of the compositio	ACTS - it will not have advers t have adverse impacts upon e village's built-up area and t ill not lead to the loss of, no ble to Leake Commonside's kisting built-up area.	se impacts on natural or he in the character and appear the visual impacts of its de- or place undue burdens on, existing services & facilitie menity value, & there are no previously developed. problems, & services & facility.	eritage assets, & its cance of the area - the site is velopment would be relatively existing infrastructure. s, & is located adjacent to o nearby 'bad neighbour' uses.
Classification Zone 1 Zone 2 Zone 3a Zone3b		No hazard Low hazard Danger for some Danger for most Danger for all		No Depth 0m to 0.25m 0.25m to 0.50m 0.50m to 1.0m 1.0m to 2.0m >2.0m

Reference	Old006	Settlement:	Leake Commonside	Phase two SHLAA sites	
Address	Land to the south-east of Chapel Road, Leake Commonside				
Site area (Hectares)	0.33 Site capacity at 30 dph:	10 Site capacity at 25 dph:	8 Site capacity at 20 dph:	7 Site capacity from planning permissions:	
Availability	Is the site available	Yes Yes		μετιπισσίστιο.	
Explanation	Although there is no pla	anning permission or allocat	ion, there are no known le	gal or ownership problems.	
Achievability	Is the site achievab	Yes			
Explanation	although flood mitigation		cated, there is a reasonab	costs are likely to be very low, le prospect that it would be	
Assumed delivery rate	Delivered in yrs 1-5: Delivered in yrs 6-10: Delivered in yrs 11-15: Delivered in yrs 16-20 Delivered in yrs 21-25:		7		
Suitability	Is the site suitable?	No			
	development would ha isolated from the existi group of dwellings in the INFRASTRUCTURE - it was LOCATION - it is less accor adjacent to Leake Coste CHARACTERISTICS and it is not agricultura TRANSPORT - it will not accessible on foot & by	ACTS - although it will not have adverse impacts upon the ong village & is visually exposse countryside. Will not lead to the loss of, not essible to Leake Commonsion monoside's existing built-u	e character and appearance ed, and its development wor place undue burdens on, de's existing services & face p area. The interest are no reviously developed land. It problems, & services & face int.	e of the area - the site is rould create an incongruous, existing infrastructure. cilities, & is not located within nearby 'bad neighbour' uses, cilities are potentially	
Classification	Unde	evelopable			
Flood risk Zone 1 Zone 2 Zone 3: Zone 3:		No hazard Low hazard Danger for some Danger for most Danger for all		No Depth 0m to 0.25m 0.25m to 0.50m 0.50m to 1.0m 1.0m to 2.0m >2.0m	

Reference	Old011	Settlement:	Leake Commonside	Phase two SHLAA sites
Address	Land at Sandygate Cres	cent, Leake Commonside		
Site area (Hectares)	0.61 Site capacity at 30 dph:	18 Site capacity at 25 dph:	15 Site capacity at 20 dph:	12 Site capacity from planning
Availability	Is the site available	Yes		permissions:
Explanation	Although there is no planning permission or allocation, there are no known legal or ownership problems.			
Achievability	Is the site achievab	Yes		
Explanation	although flood mitigati	. Values are moderate, but con costs are likely. If it is alloobegin in year 9, and be com	cated, there is a reasonab	costs are likely to be low, lle prospect that it would be
Assumed delivery rate	Delivered in yrs 1-5: Delivered in yrs 6-10: Delivered in yrs 11-15: Delivered in yrs 16-20 Delivered in yrs 21-25:		12	
Suitability	Is the site suitable	No		
Explanation	identification of no nev ENVIRONMENTAL IMPA development would ha intensify the visual imp INFRASTRUCTURE - alth would lead to the loss of LOCATION - it is relative within or adjacent to Le SITE CHARACTERISTICS and it is not agricultura TRANSPORT - it will not accessible on foot & by	ACTS - although it will not have adverse impacts upon the acts of an existing, incongruenced it would not place under the proof existing green infrastructurely inaccessible to Leake Coreake Commonside's existing - the site has no intrinsic am I land. However, it is not prest create or exacerbate trafficionic, aby public transpositict with the emerging Plan's	e character and appearance character and appearance ous group of dwellings in the due burdens on existing in the are, as it is currently in use mmonside's existing service built-up area. The area are no exiously developed. The problems, & services & fairt.	tural or heritage assets, its see of the area - it would whe countryside. If as amenity open space. It is as a menity open space. It is as a facilities, & is not located where the sees are potentially its are potentially
Classification	Unde	evelopable		
Flood risk Zone Zone Zone	2 3a	No hazard Low hazard Danger for some Danger for most Danger for all		No Depth 0m to 0.25m 0.25m to 0.50m 0.50m to 1.0m 1.0m to 2.0m >2.0m

Reference	Old013	Settlement:	Leake Commonside	Phase two SHLAA sites
Address	Land adjacent to Lynho	olme, Station Road, Leake	Commonside	
Site area (Hectares)	0.28 Site capacity at 30 dph:	8 Site capacity at 25 dph:	7 Site capacity at 20 dph:	6 Site capacity from planning
Availability	Is the site availabl	e? Yes		permissions:
Explanation		ermission (ref B/10/0326)		legal or ownership problems. affordable dwelling on the site's
Achievability	Is the site achieva	ble? Yes		
Explanation	although flood mitigat		llocated, there is a reasona	costs are likely to be very low, ble prospect that it would be
Assumed delivery rat	Delivered in yrs 1-5: Delivered in yrs 6-10: Delivered in yrs 11-15 Delivered in yrs 16-20 Delivered in yrs 21-25		6	
Suitability	Is the site suitable	No No		
	development would no impacts of the site's de site are arbitrary, from in an otherwise develor in the site are arbitrary in an otherwise develor in the site are arbitrary in an otherwise develor in a compact of the site are arbitrary in a compact are arbitrary in a site are arbitrary. In a site are arbitrary in a site are arbitrary in a site are arbitrary in a site are arbitrary. In a site are arbitrary, and arbitrary in a site are arbitrary, and arbitrary in a site are arbitrary, arbitrary in an arbitrary in a site are arbitrary. In a site are arbitrary, arbitrary in a site arbitrar	ot have adverse impacts up evelopment would be mod in public vantage points its of oped frontage). will not lead to the loss of, sible to Leake Commonside's exist Leake Commonside's exist S - the site has no intrinsic a agricultural land, and is no	est (although the southern development would appear nor place undue burdens on a sexisting services & faciliting built-up area. If amenity value, & there are the previously developed. If the problems, & services & port.	arance of the area - the visual and eastern boundaries of the as the natural infilling of a gap n, existing infrastructure. ies, & is located partly within no nearby 'bad neighbour' uses.
Classification	Und	evelopable		
Flood risk	Zone 1 Zone 2 Zone 3a Zone3b	No hazard Low hazard Danger for so Danger for mo		No Depth Om to 0.25m 0.25m to 0.50m 0.50m to 1.0m 1.0m to 2.0m >2.0m